Angular Correlations in the Vector-Meson Exchange Model for Resonance Production*

YUAN LI AND MICHEL B. MARTIN[†]

Department of Physics, The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan

(Received 5 March 1964)

The vector-meson exchange model for resonance production is used to predict the angular distribution of the decay products of the resonance and of the scattered particle or resonance. Only parity and angular-momentum conservation rules are used in these calculations. The angular correlation functions are given in explicit form. The examples presented are for reactions of type: $\pi + N \rightarrow \omega + N^*$, $\rho + N^*$, and $\pi + N^*$.

VECTOR-MESON exchange is a possible mechanism for the production of resonances. This model has been considered by Stodolsky and Sakurai¹ who used field theory to obtain the angular distribution of the decay products of the resonance for reactions of the type:

$$\pi^{+} + p \to N_{3/2}^{++} + \pi^{0} \\ N_{3/2}^{++} \to \pi^{+} + p, \qquad (1)$$

$$K^{-} + p \rightarrow Y_1^{**} + \pi^{-}$$

$$Y_1^{**} \rightarrow \Lambda + \pi^{+}.$$
(2)

We consider here the mechanism given in Fig. 1. In all cases, the particle [1] has spin and parity 0(-), particle [3] is the exchange vector meson 1(-), and the particle [4] is a nucleon $\frac{1}{2}(+)$. The particles [6] and [7] are 0(-) and $\frac{1}{2}(+)$, respectively; and the spin and parity of [2] are 0(-) or 1(-). In addition to these particles, it can be significant to measure the angular distribution of the decay products of [2] in correlation with the decay products of [5]; several possible decay modes of [2] will be considered. No other restrictions are imposed on the system. The possible spins and parities are summarized as:

[1]: 0(-)
[2]: 0(-) or 1(-)
[3]: 1(-)
[4]: ¹/₂(+)
[5]: a fermion of arbitrary spin and parity
[6]: 0(-)

 $[7]: \frac{1}{2}(+).$

Instead of using field theory, the angular correlation function can be obtained by using only the angularmomentum and parity conservation rules.

The analysis is done in three steps:

(a) The angular momentum state of [3] in the rest frame of [1] is determined from parity and angular momentum conservation rules at the vertex (1,2,3), and by the measurements which can be done on the decay products of [2].

(b) The spin state of [3] is transformed from the rest frame of [1] to the rest frame of [5] (see Appendix).

(c) The angular momentum state of [5] is then given by parity and angular momentum conservation rules at the vertex (3,4,5). This allows us to find the angular distribution of the decay products of [5].

For the analysis of the angular momentum at the vertex (1,2,3), we chose a system of axis such that [1] is at rest, the z axis is along the direction of the momentum of [3] and the y axis is perpendicular to the reaction plane. After the decay of [1], the spin states of [2] and [3], and their orbital angular momentum couple to a total angular momentum $j_1=0$, to form a negative parity state:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(j_2 j_3; j_{23} l_1; 0) \\ &= \sum_{m_2 m_3} \chi_{j_2}^{m_2} \chi_{j_3}^{m_3} Y_{l_1}^{0}(0) \langle j_2 m_2 j_3 m_3 | j_2 j_3 j_{23} m_{23} \rangle \\ &\times \langle j_{23} m_{23} l_1 0 | j_{23} l_1 0 0 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$
(3)

As the values of j_2 and j_3 are restricted to 0 or 1 only, there is only one value of l_1 which satisfies parity and angular-momentum conservation rules: $l_1=1$. For arbitrary values of j_2 and j_3 , the summation in Eq. (3) should include the indices l_1 and j_{23} . We write then (in the following, we will drop over-all constants without notice):

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(j_2 j_3; j_{23} l_1; 0) \\ = & \sum_{m_2} \chi_{j_2}^{m_2} \chi_{j_3}^{-m_2} \langle j_2 m_2 j_3 - m_2 | j_2 j_3 j_{23} = 10 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$
(4)

The observation of the decay products of the particle [2] will select some m_2 states, or some linear combination of m_2 states. The correct combinations will depend on the type of decay of [2], and on the type of measurement made on the decay products. The different cases of interest will be given explicitly later; but in order to keep the formulas simple, we consider now only one specific m_2 state.

FIG. 1. Schematic diagram of vector-meson exchange model. The particles designations are described in the text.



^{*} Supported in part by the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission and Office of Naval Research.

[†] Present address: Institut de Physique, Université de Genève, Geneva, Switzerland.

¹L. Stodolsky and J. Sakurai, Phys. Rev. Letters 11, 90 (1963).

In order to find the spin state of [3] in the rest frame nance, we have the possible cases of [5], the following transformations are done:

(1) a Lorentz transformation along the z axis, which brings [3] at rest;

(2) a "rotation" β around the y axis such that the new z axis points in the negative direction of the momentum of $\lceil 5 \rceil$;

(3) a second Lorentz translation along the new zdirection to the rest frame of $\lceil 5 \rceil$.

If the helicity representation is used,² the two Lorentz transformations do not change the spin states. The mixing of states occurs only when the rotation in the rest frame of [3] is performed.

Although the rotation β involves an unphysical angle, it is shown in the Appendix that the transformation matrix for the spin states of [3] looks like the usual $d^{(1)}$ functions. We denote by $U_{ja}^{\mu\nu}(\beta)$ this transformation matrix:

$$\chi_{j_3}^{m_3} = \sum_{m_3'} U_{j_3}^{m_3'm_3}(\beta) \chi_{j_3}^{m_3'}.$$
 (5)

The spin state of $\lceil 3 \rceil$ in the rest frame of $\lceil 5 \rceil$ is then:

$$\psi_{(3)} = \sum_{m_3} \langle j_2 m_2 j_3 - m_2 | j_2 j_3 10 \rangle U_{j_3}^{m_3 - m_2}(\beta) \chi_{j_3}^{m_3}.$$
 (6)

At the vertex (3,4,5), the intrinsic spins and orbital angular momentum couple to form a state

$$\psi = \psi_{(3)} Y_{l_2}^{\ 0} \chi_{j_4}^{\ m_4}, \tag{7}$$

or

$$\begin{split} \psi &= \sum_{\substack{m_3, l_2 \\ j, J}} a_{m_3} \langle j_3 m_3 l_2 0 | j_3 l_2 j m_3 \rangle \\ &\times \langle j m_3 j_4 m_4 | j j_4 J M \rangle \chi_J^M(j, l_2) , \quad (8) \end{split}$$

where a_{m_3} is the coefficient of $\chi_{j_3}^{m_3}$ in Eq. (6). If we denote by H the Hamiltonian which describes the formation of the resonance [5] from the state ψ , the spin state of $\lceil 5 \rceil$ is

$$\psi_{(5)} = \sum_{m_5} \langle \chi_{j_5}^{m_5} | H | \psi \rangle.$$
(9)

By using the Wigner-Eckart theorem, this can be written

$$\begin{split} \psi_{(5)} = & \sum_{\substack{m_3 \\ jl_2}} a_{m_3} \langle j_3 m_3 l_2 0 | j_3 l_2 j m \rangle \langle j m_3 j_4 m_4 | j j_4 j_5 m_5 \rangle \\ \times \langle j_5 \| H \| j l_2 j_5 \rangle \chi_{j_5}^{m_5}. \end{split}$$
(10)

For any given parity and spin of [5] (with $j_5 > \frac{1}{2}$), the conservation of angular momentum and parity restricts the summation over j and l_2 to three terms. They can be expressed in terms of a linear combination of magnetic, electric, and longitudinal multipole wave functions for the vector meson. For example, if [5] is a $\frac{3}{2}(+)$ reso-

(1)
$$j=1; l_2=1$$

(2) $j=2; l_2=1$
(3) $j=2; l_2=3$

The first case corresponds to a M1 radiation, and the two last cases to orthogonal mixtures of E2 and L2. Instead of assuming that one of these three cases is a dominant process, we will keep the summation unrestricted in order to evaluate the interference terms.

The reduced matrix elements $\langle j_5 || H || j l_2 j_5 \rangle$ are independent complex numbers.

The particle [5] decays by emitting a $\frac{1}{2}(+)$ [or a 0(-) particle in the direction θ , ϕ . A given m_5 state decays to a specific m_7 state as:

$$\chi_{j_5}^{m_5} \longrightarrow \langle l_3 \mu_3 j_7 m_7 | l_3 j_7 j_5 m_5 \rangle Y_{l_3}^{\mu_3}(\theta, \phi) \chi_{j_7}^{m_7}.$$
(11)

In Eq. (11), the summation over l_3 is not indicated, because parity and angular-momentum conservation rules allow only one value of l_3 .

For a specific value of m_2 , m_4 , and m_7 , the spatial wave function of $\lceil 7 \rceil$ is

$$\psi_{(7)} = \sum_{\substack{m_3 \\ jl_2}} b \binom{j \quad l_2 \quad l_3}{m_2 \quad m_3 \quad m_4 \quad m_7} Y_{l_3}^{m_3 + m_4 - m_7}(\theta, \phi) , \quad (12)$$

where

$$b\binom{j}{m_{2}} \binom{l_{2}}{m_{3}} \binom{l_{2}}{m_{4}} \binom{l_{3}}{m_{7}} \\ \equiv \langle j_{2}m_{2}j_{3}-m_{2}| j_{2}j_{3}10 \rangle \langle j_{3}m_{3}l_{2}0| j_{3}l_{2}jm_{3} \rangle \\ \times \langle jm_{3}j_{4}m_{4}| jj_{4}j_{5}m_{3}+m_{4} \rangle \\ \times \langle l_{3}m_{3}+m_{4}-m_{7}j_{7}m_{7}| l_{3}j_{7}j_{5}m_{3}+m_{4} \rangle \\ \times U_{j_{3}}^{m_{3}-m_{2}}(\beta) \langle j_{5}||H|| jl_{2}j_{5} \rangle.$$
(13)

The angular distribution of $\lceil 7 \rceil$ is then

$$\omega(\theta,\phi) = \sum \epsilon_{m_2m_2'} b \binom{j \quad l_2 \quad l_3}{m_2 \quad m_3 \quad m_4 \quad m_7} Y_{l_3}^{m_3+m_4-m_7}(\theta,\phi) \\ \times \left[b \binom{j' \quad l_2' \quad l_3}{m_2' \quad m_3' \quad m_4 \quad m_7} Y_{l_3}^{m_3'+m_4-m_7} \right]^*, \quad (14)$$

where the summation is extended over the indices m_2 , m_2' , m_3 , m_3' , m_4 , m_6 , j, j', l_2 , and l_2' . Here again, θ , ϕ are the angles of decay of the resonance [5]. The coordinate system is in the rest frame of $[5]: -p_4$ is the z axis and y is normal to the production plane.

The matrix $\epsilon_{m_2m_2'}$ is the "efficiency matrix" for the observation of the particle [2]. As it is possible to perform several different measurements on [2], we can imagine that different detectors are used, each detector being specified by its efficiency matrix. For example, if only the direction of $\lceil 2 \rceil$ is measured, no information is

² M. Jacob and G. C. Wick, Ann. Phys. (N. Y.) 7, 404 (1959).

obtained on its polarization, and in this case, the efficiency matrix is

$$\epsilon_{m_2m_2'} = \delta_{m_2m_2'} \,. \tag{15}$$

In the case where [2] decays into two π mesons, as can happen if [2] is a ρ meson, a measurement on the directions of the decay products of [2] corresponds to a polarization-direction measurement of [2]. In this case, the efficiency matrix is

$$\epsilon_{m_2m_{2'}} = Y_{j_2}^{m_2}(\Theta, \Phi) Y_{j_2}^{*m_{2'}}(\Theta, \Phi), \qquad (16)$$

where Θ and Φ are the polar and azimuthal angles of the direction of one of the two π mesons in the rest frame of [2]. This rest frame is related to the rest frame of [1] specified above by a Lorentz translation in the z direction. The coordinates are thus \mathbf{p}_{π} is the z axis and y is the normal to the production plane. If [2] decays into

three π mesons, as can be the case if [2] is an ω meson, then

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{m_2 m_{2'}} = Y_{j_2}^{m_2}(\boldsymbol{\Theta}, \boldsymbol{\Phi}) Y_{j_2}^{*m_2'}(\boldsymbol{\Theta}, \boldsymbol{\Phi}) \sin^2(L \boldsymbol{\psi}). \quad (17)$$

Here, if \hat{p}_1 and \hat{p}_{23} specify the directions of propagation of one decay product and the center of mass of the other two, respectively, in the rest frame of [2] (which is again related to the rest frame of [1] specified above by a Lorentz translation in z direction), the angles Θ , Φ specify the direction of the normal to the decay plane: $\hat{p}_1 \times \hat{p}_{23}$ and ψ is defined by $\cos \psi = \hat{p}_1 \cdot \hat{p}_{23}$. L specifies the relative state that the decay product 1 and the center of mass of the other two are in.

An explicit calculation of (14) for the process

$$\pi^+ + n \to \omega + N^{*+} \tag{18}$$

through the exchange of a vector meson is carried out and the angular distribution is

$$\begin{split} \sin^{2}\Theta\sin^{2}(L\psi) \bigg\{ \Big| a_{11} \Big|^{2} \bigg[A(\beta,\Phi) \frac{2+3\sin^{2}\theta}{18} + B(\beta,\phi,\Phi) \frac{\sin^{2}\theta}{6} \bigg] \\ + \Big| a_{21} \Big|^{2} \bigg[\frac{A(\beta,\Phi)}{10} (1 + \cos^{2}\theta) - \frac{B(\beta,\phi,\Phi)}{10} \sin^{2}\theta + \frac{8}{45} C^{2}(\beta,\Phi) (1 + 3\cos^{2}\theta) - \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{15} C(\beta,\Phi) \operatorname{Re}D(\beta,\phi,\Phi) \sin\theta \cos\theta \bigg] \\ + \Big| a_{23} \Big|^{2} \bigg[\frac{A(\beta,\Phi)}{35} (1 + \cos^{2}\theta) - \frac{B(\beta,\phi,\Phi)}{35} \sin^{2}\theta + \frac{4}{35} C^{2}(\beta,\Phi) (1 + 3\cos^{2}\theta) + \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{35} C(\beta,\Phi) \operatorname{Re}D(\beta,\phi,\Phi) \sin\theta \cos\theta \bigg] \\ + 2 \operatorname{Re}(a_{11}a_{21}^{*}) \bigg[- \frac{A(\beta,\Phi)}{6\sqrt{5}} (1 - 3\cos^{2}\theta) + \frac{B(\beta,\phi,\Phi)}{6\sqrt{5}} \sin^{2}\theta + \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3\sqrt{5}} C(\beta,\Phi) \operatorname{Re}D(\beta,\phi,\Phi) \sin\theta \cos\theta \bigg] \\ - 2 \operatorname{Im}(a_{11}a_{21}^{*}) \bigg[\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3\sqrt{5}} C(\beta,\Phi) \operatorname{Im}D(\beta,\phi,\Phi) \sin\theta \cos\theta + \frac{2}{3\sqrt{5}} \operatorname{Im}D(\beta,\phi,\Phi) \operatorname{Re}D(\beta,\phi,\Phi) \sin^{2}\theta \bigg] \\ - 2 \operatorname{Re}(a_{11}a_{23}^{*}) \bigg[\frac{A(\beta,\Phi)}{3(2.5.7)^{1/2}} (1 - 3\cos^{2}\theta) + \frac{B(\beta,\phi,\Phi)}{3(2.5.7)^{1/2}} \sin^{2}\theta + \frac{2}{(5.7)^{1/2}} C(\beta,\Phi) \operatorname{Re}D(\beta,\phi,\Phi) \sin\theta \cos\theta \bigg] \\ - 2 \operatorname{Im}(a_{11}a_{23}^{*}) \bigg[- \frac{2}{(5.7)^{1/2}} C(\beta,\Phi) \operatorname{Im}D(\beta,\phi,\Phi) \sin\theta \cos\theta + \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3(5.7)^{1/2}} \operatorname{Im}D(\beta,\Phi,\phi) \operatorname{Re}D(\beta,\phi,\Phi) \sin^{2}\theta \bigg] \\ - 2 \operatorname{Re}(a_{21}a_{23}^{*}) \bigg[- \frac{2}{(5.7)^{1/2}} C(\beta,\Phi) \operatorname{Im}D(\beta,\phi,\Phi) \sin\theta \cos\theta + \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3(5.7)^{1/2}} \operatorname{Im}D(\beta,\Phi,\phi) \operatorname{Re}D(\beta,\phi,\Phi) \sin^{2}\theta \bigg] \\ - 2 \operatorname{Im}(a_{21}a_{23}^{*}) \bigg[- \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{3.5\sqrt{7}} C^{2}(\beta,\Phi) (1 + 3\cos^{2}\theta) + \frac{2}{3.5\sqrt{7}} C(\beta,\Phi) \operatorname{Re}D(\beta,\phi,\Phi) \sin\theta \cos\theta} \bigg] \\ - 2 \operatorname{Im}(a_{21}a_{23}^{*}) \bigg[\frac{A(\beta,\Phi)}{5(2.7)^{1/2}} (1 + \cos^{2}\theta) - \frac{B(\beta,\phi,\Phi)}{5(2.7)^{1/2}} \sin^{2}\theta} \\ - \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{3.5\sqrt{7}} C^{2}(\beta,\Phi) (1 + 3\cos^{2}\theta) + \frac{2}{3.5\sqrt{7}} C(\beta,\Phi) \operatorname{Re}D(\beta,\phi,\Phi) \sin\theta \cos\theta} \bigg] \bigg\} \right\},$$
(19)

where

$$a_{jl_{2}} \equiv \langle j_{5} || H || j_{5} j l_{2} \rangle,$$

$$A(\beta, \Phi) \equiv |U_{1}^{11}(\beta)|^{2} + |U_{1}^{1-1}(\beta)|^{2} + 2U_{1}^{1-1}(\beta)U_{1}^{11}(\beta)\cos^{2}\Phi,$$

$$B(\beta, \Phi, \phi) \equiv [|U_{1}^{1-1}(\beta)|^{2}\cos^{2}(\phi + \Phi) + |U_{1}^{11}(\beta)|^{2}\cos^{2}(\phi - \Phi) + 2U_{1}^{11}(\beta)U_{1}^{1-1}(\beta)\cos^{2}\phi],$$

$$C(\beta, \Phi) \equiv +iU_{1}^{10}(\beta)\sin\Phi,^{3}$$

$$D(\beta, \phi, \Phi) \equiv U_{1}^{11}(\beta)e^{i(\phi - \Phi)} + U_{1}^{1-1}(\beta)e^{i(\phi + \Phi)}.$$
(20)

As shown in the Appendix, $\sin\beta$ is pure imaginary in our case with factor +i, C is real.

The expressions for the angular distributions in the cases of [2] being a $j_2=0$ particle, or a $j_2=1$ particle which decays into two spin-zero mesons, can be deduced from (19) rather easily. The former can be obtained by replacing

$$\begin{split} U_1^{1-1}(\beta) &\to U_1^{0-1}(\beta) \,, \quad U_1^{11}(\beta) \to U_1^{01}(\beta) \,, \\ & U_1^{10}(\beta) \to U_1^{00}(\beta) \end{split}$$

and then integrating over Θ , Φ , ψ . The latter is the same as (19) except that the factor $\sin^2(L\psi)$ must be dropped.

Simultaneous measurements on the decay products of [2] in its center-of-mass system in correlation with the decay distribution of [5] in its center-of-mass system might be necessary in order to determine completely the two relative magnitudes and two relative phases which specify the reduced matrix elements. This type of correlation analysis has been neglected in the experiments up to now. It is seen to require no better statistics than the analysis of the decay distributions of the individual resonances separately and it will yield some independent information.

The complicated form of (19) can be approximated if we believe that $|a_{11}| \gg |a_{21}|$, $|a_{23}|$ corresponding to the argument made by Stodolsky and Sakurai¹ that the magnetic dipole term is predominate. In this case, the angular correlation of decay products of [2] and [5] in their respective rest systems enters through the coefficient $B(\beta,\phi,\Phi)$. We thus have

$$\sin^2\Theta \sin^2(L\psi) \{ (2/9)(1-3\sin^2\theta \sin^2\phi) \\ + [\cos^2(\phi+\Phi) + \cos^2(\phi-\Phi)]^{\frac{1}{6}} \sin^2\theta \\ - \cos^2\Phi ((2+3\sin^2\theta)/18) \}$$
(21)

which immediately reduces to the result obtained in Ref. 1 once the integrations over Θ , Φ , ψ are carried out. Another approximation is also worthwhile mentioning. Numerical calculation of $U_1^{\mu\nu}$ shows that for incident pion laboratory energy of 3 BeV in the process

$$\pi^+ + n \rightarrow \omega + N^{*+}$$
,

and for $0 > t > -m_{\rho}^2$, we have $-1 < U_1^{1-1}/U_1^{11} < -0.9$ and $iU_1^{10}/U_1^{11} = \sqrt{2} \left(|U_1^{1-1}/U_1^{11}| \right)^{1/2}$. Therefore, it would be plausible to make the approximation that $|U_1^{1-1}(\beta)| = |U_1^{11}(\beta)| = \sqrt{2} |U_1^{10}(\beta)|$. Thus, Eq. (19) is simplified to a certain extent. The angular correlation between decay products of [2] and [5] in their respective convenient systems is more explicit, without being obscured by the presence of β dependence among terms.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We would like to thank Professor Marc Ross for suggesting this problem, and for his advice and encouragement.

APPENDIX

In the rest frame of the resonance [5], the four momenta of [1], [3], [4], [5] are:

$$\begin{pmatrix} P_{1x} \\ 0 \\ P_{1z} \\ E_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ P_{3z} \\ M_3 - E_4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -P_{3z} \\ E_4 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ M_5 \end{pmatrix},$$

respectively.

The Lorentz transformation which brings [3] at rest from the rest frame of [5] is:

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 + P_{3z^2} / \sqrt{t} (M_5 - E_4 + \sqrt{t}) & -P_3 / \sqrt{t} \\ 0 & 0 & P_3 / \sqrt{t} & (M_5 - E_4) / \sqrt{t} \end{pmatrix},$$
where

$$t = M_{5}^{2} + M_{4}^{2} - 2E_{4}M_{5},$$

$$S = M_{1}^{2} + M_{4}^{2} + 2E_{1}E_{4} + 2P_{1z}P_{4z}.$$

This transformation in the direction of the motion of [3], does not change its spin state. It is an "unphysical" transformation, corresponding to the crossing of the light cone. In this new reference frame, the four vector of [1] will be

$$L \times \begin{pmatrix} P_{1x} \\ 0 \\ P_{1z} \\ E_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We now perform a rotation around the y axis, such that the momentum of [1] lies along the z axis. The rotation matrix has the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} \cos\beta & 0 & -\sin\beta & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \sin\beta & 0 & \cos\beta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$\cos\beta = -\frac{(M_{5}^{2} - M_{4}^{2})(M_{1}^{2} - M_{2}^{2}) + t(M_{1}^{2} + M_{2}^{2} + M_{4}^{2} + M_{5}^{2}) - 2st - t^{2}}{[(t - M_{4}^{2} - M_{5}^{2})^{2} - 4M_{4}^{2}M_{5}^{2}]^{1/2}[(t + M_{1}^{2} - M_{2}^{2})^{2} - 4M_{1}^{2}t]^{1/2}},$$

and

$$\sin\beta = i(\cos^2\beta - 1)^{1/2}.$$

and $\sin\beta$ is pure imaginary. The corresponding transformation of the helicity state

$$\chi_{j_3}{}^{\mu_3} = \sum_{\nu_3} U_{j_3}{}^{\nu_3\mu_3}(\beta)\chi_{j_3}{}^{\nu_3}$$

The rotation β is unphysical, in the sense that $\cos\beta > 1$

can be written as

$$U_1 = \begin{pmatrix} (1 + \cos\beta)/2 & -(\sin\beta)/\sqrt{2} & (1 - \cos\beta)/2 \\ (\sin\beta)/\sqrt{2} & \cos\beta & -(\sin\beta)/\sqrt{2} \\ (1 - \cos\beta)/2 & (\sin\beta)/\sqrt{2} & (1 + \cos\beta)/2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Another Lorentz transformation in the new z direction to the rest frame of $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \end{bmatrix}$ does not change the helicity state.

PHYSICAL REVIEW

VOLUME 135, NUMBER 2B

27 JULY 1964

S-Wave Hyperon-Nucleon Interactions and SU₃ Symmetry

P. D. DESOUZA* AND G. A. SNOW* University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland

AND

S. Meshkov† National Bureau of Standards, Washington, D. C. (Received 12 March 1964)

The generalized Pauli principle is combined with the assumption of SU₃ symmetry to yield relations between hyperon-nucleon and nucleon-nucleon scattering amplitudes for the 1S0 and 3S1 states.

A LTHOUGH many applications of the "eightfold way" version of unitary symmetry have been made to two-body meson baryon reactions, relatively little attention has been paid to baryon-baryon systems.² In this note we combine the assumption of SU₃ symmetry with the generalized Pauli principle to deduce relations between hyperon-nucleon and nucleon-nucleon amplitudes.³ Particular attention is given to those reactions which are most readily accessible to experiments, namely,

$$p + p \rightarrow p + p$$
 $T(pp)$ (1a)

$$n + p \rightarrow n + p$$
 $T(nn)$ (1b)

$$\Sigma^{+} + p \longrightarrow \Sigma^{+} + p \quad T(\Sigma^{+}\Sigma^{+}) \tag{1c}$$

$$\Sigma^{-} + p \to \Sigma^{-} + p \quad T(\Sigma^{-}\Sigma^{-}) \tag{1d}$$

$$\Sigma^{-} + p \longrightarrow \Sigma^{0} + n \quad T(\Sigma^{-}\Sigma^{0})$$
 (1e)

$$\Sigma^{-} + p \longrightarrow \Lambda + n \qquad T(\Sigma^{-}\Lambda) \tag{1f}$$

$$\Lambda + p \longrightarrow \Lambda + p \qquad T(\Lambda\Lambda) \tag{1g}$$

$$\Lambda + p \longrightarrow \Sigma^+ + n \quad T(\Lambda \Sigma^+) \tag{1h}$$

$$\Lambda + p \longrightarrow \Sigma^0 + p \qquad T(\Lambda \Sigma^0) \tag{1i}$$

Supported by the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

³ For an example of the combination of generalized Bose symmetry with SU_3 invariance as applied to mesons, see C. A. Levinson, H. J. Lipkin and S. Meshkov, Phys. Letters 7, 81 (1963).

In general, the wave function of two particles, each of which belongs to an octet representation of SU₃, will be a linear combination of irreducible wave functions belonging to the representations [27], $[8_s]$, $[8_a]$, [10], $\lceil \overline{10} \rceil$, and $\lceil 1 \rceil$. (Note that the symbol $\lceil \overline{10} \rceil$ denotes a continuous bar over the "one" and "zero.") The generalized Pauli principle applied to states containing two baryons which belong to the $J^P = 1/2^+$ baryon octet, allows a reduction in the number of independent, reduced SU_3 matrix elements needed to describe the reactions of Eq. (1).

The justification of the unphysical transformation can be seen in two ways. From dispersion theory, $\cos\beta$ is the same as the cosine of the angle in the *t* channel. If, on the other hand, we construct the field function of [3]and impose the Lorentz condition even in the case that

[3] is virtual, to eliminate the spin-zero component, we

obtain the same answer for the transformation between

the field functions in two vertices.

The total wave function for two baryons must be antisymmetric under the interchange of all of the coordinates of the two particles. In the two nucleon problem it is customary to split the total wave function into several parts, one describing the isospin and the other the spin-space part. However, if SU3 invariance is assumed, then the dichotomy is into an SU₃ part and a spin-space part. Correspondingly, when two baryons are in an antisymmetric spin-space state $({}^{1}S_{0}, {}^{3}P_{0,1,2}, \cdots$ in the notation ${}^{2S+1}L_J$, their SU₃ wave function $\lceil (B_1B_2 + B_2B_1)/\sqrt{2} \rceil$ must be symmetric. B_1 and B_2 represent the SU₃ functions for baryons 1 and 2, respectively. Similarly, for symmetric spin-space states $({}^{3}S_{1}, {}^{1}P_{1}, \cdots)$ the SU₃ wave function $\lceil (B_{1}B_{2} - B_{2}B_{1})/\sqrt{2} \rceil$ is antisymmetric. In general, the SU₃ symmetric states belong to the representations $\lceil 27 \rceil$, $\lceil 8_s \rceil$, and $\lceil 1 \rceil$, although in the reactions of Eq. (1) the singlet $\lceil 1 \rceil$ state does not occur. The antisymmetric SU₃ states are contained in $[8_a]$, [10], and $[\overline{10}]$.

Assuming strict SU₃ invariance of the strong inter-

¹ Work supported in part by the U. S. Office of Naval Research.
¹ Y. Ne'eman, Nucl. Phys. 26, 222 (1961); M. Gell-Mann, California Institute of Technology Report No. CTSL-20 (1961) (unpublished); and Phys. Rev. 125, 1067 (1962).
² R. J. Oakes, Phys. Rev. 131, 2239 (1963); I. Gerstein (preprint).